arXiv:hep-th/9410063v1 10 Oct 1994

ON DISPERSIONLESS HIROTA TYPE EQUATIONS

Robert Carroll
Mathematics Department
University of Illinois
Urbana, IL 61801

Abstract

Various connections between 2-D gravity and KdV, dKdV, inverse scattering, etc. are established. For KP we show how to extract from the dispersionless limit of the Fay differential identity of Takasaki-Takebe the collection of differential equations for $F = log(\tau^{dKP})$ which play the role of Hirota type equations in the dispersionless theory.

1. HIROTA EQUATIONS

In [7] we showed how second derivatives of $F = log(\tau^{dKP})$ survive in the dispersionless limit of the KP Hirota equations and in [31] it was shown how such second derivatives are contained in the dispersionless limit of the Fay differential identity (cf. [1]). We show here how to extract all such dispersionless Hirota type equations from the limiting Fay identity and indicate the pattern. Thus, referring to [1,6-9,31,32] for background in KP theory, we recall first some basic relations involving the Lax and gauge operator. Thus

$$L = \partial + \sum_1^\infty u_{n+1}\partial^{-n};\ W = 1 + \sum_1^\infty w_n\partial^{-n} \tag{1.1}$$

One has $L = W\partial W^{-1}$ and $\partial_n WW^{-1} = -L_-^n$ (Sato equation). Then define $\psi = Wexp(\xi) = w(x,\lambda)exp(\xi)$ $(w(x,\lambda) = 1 + \sum_1^\infty w_n\lambda^{-n})$ and $\psi^* = W^{*-1}exp(-\xi) = w^*(x,\lambda)exp(-\xi)$ $(w^*(x,\lambda) = 1 + \sum_1^\infty w_j^*\lambda^{-j})$. It follows that

$$B_n = L_+^n;\ \xi = \sum_1^\infty x_n\lambda^n\ (x = x_1);\ L\psi = \lambda\psi;\ \partial_n\psi = B_n\psi\ (\partial_n = \partial/\partial x_n); \tag{1.2}$$

$$L^*\psi^* = \lambda\psi^*;\ \partial_n\psi^* = -B_n^*\psi^*;\ \partial_n L = [B_n, L];\ \partial_n B_m - \partial_m B_n = [B_n, B_m]$$

One also has a tau function $\tau(x)$ and vertex operators X, X^* which satisfy

$$\psi(x,\lambda) = X(\lambda)\tau/\tau = e^{\xi}\tau_-/\tau = e^{\xi}G_-(\lambda)\tau/\tau = e^{\xi}\tau(x_j - 1/j\lambda^j)/\tau;\ G_\pm(\lambda) = \quad (1.3)$$

$$exp(\pm\xi(\tilde{\partial},\lambda^{-1}));\ \tilde{\partial} = (\partial_1, \frac{1}{2}\partial_2, ...);\ \psi^* = X^*(\lambda)\tau/\tau = e^{-\xi}G_+(\lambda)\tau/\tau = e^{-\xi}\tau_+/\tau$$

One writes also $exp(\xi) = exp(\sum_1^\infty x_n\lambda^n) = \sum_0^\infty p_j\lambda^j$ (Schur polynomials).

For dispersionless KP one takes $\epsilon \to \epsilon x = X$ and $t_n \to \epsilon t_n = T_n$ in say the KP equation $u_t = K(u) = u'''+3uu'+\frac{3}{4}\partial^{-1}\partial_2^2 u$ with $\partial_n \to \epsilon\partial/\partial T_n$ and $u(x,t_n) \to \tilde{u}(X,T_n)$ to obtain $\partial_T\tilde{u} = 3\tilde{u}\partial_X\tilde{u} + \frac{3}{4}\partial^{-1}\partial^2\tilde{u}/(\partial T_2)^2$ when $\epsilon \to 0$ (see [10] for a more geometric approach). Thus, using (t_n) for (x_n), consider $L = \epsilon\partial + \sum_1^\infty u_{n+1}(\epsilon,T)(\epsilon\partial)^{-n}$. We think of replacing (t_n) by (T_n) now and assuming $u_n(\epsilon,T) = u_n(T) + O(\epsilon)$ etc. (in an obvious abuse of notation which seems to cause no confusion). Take then

$$\psi = (1+O(1/\lambda))e^{(\sum_1^\infty T_n\lambda^n/\epsilon)} = e^{(\frac{1}{\epsilon}S(T,\lambda)+O(1))}; \tau = e^{(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}F(T)+O(\frac{1}{\epsilon}))} \quad (1.4)$$

and replace ∂_n by $\epsilon\partial_n$ where $\partial_n \sim \partial/\partial T_n$ now to get $L\psi = \lambda\psi$ with

$$\partial_n L = [B_n, L];\ \epsilon\partial_n\psi = B_n\psi;\ \psi = e^{(\sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{\epsilon}T_n\lambda^n)}\tau(\epsilon, T_n - \epsilon/n\lambda^n)/\tau(\epsilon,T) \quad (1.5)$$

The connection to standard semiclassical approximation ideas is clear. Now observe that for $P = \partial S$ ($\partial \sim \partial/\partial X$ now), $\epsilon^i\partial^i\psi \to P^i\psi$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ since $\epsilon\partial\psi = \partial S\psi = P\psi$, $\epsilon^2\partial^2\psi = (\epsilon\partial P)\psi + P^2\psi$, etc. Further $\epsilon\partial(\psi/P) = -((\epsilon\partial P)/P^2)\psi + \psi = \psi + O(\epsilon)\psi$ which implies $(\epsilon\partial^{-1})\psi \to P^{-1}\psi$ etc. Hence $L\psi = \lambda\psi$ becomes

$$\lambda = P + \sum_1^\infty u_{n+1}P^{-n};\ P = \lambda - \sum_1^\infty P_i\lambda^{-i} \quad (1.6)$$

(we write $u_{n+1}(T)$ or $u_{n+1}(t)$ depending on context). Also from $B_n\psi = \sum_0^n b_{mn}(\epsilon\partial)^m\psi$ one gets

$$B_n\psi = \sum_0^n b_{mn}P^m\psi + O(\epsilon)\psi = \mathcal{B}_n(P)\psi + O(\epsilon)\psi;\ \epsilon\partial_n\psi = \partial_n S\psi = \mathcal{B}_n(P)\psi \quad (1.7)$$

$$+O(\epsilon)\psi \Rightarrow \partial_n S = \mathcal{B}_n(P) \Rightarrow \partial_n P = \hat{\partial}\mathcal{B}_n(P)\ (\hat{\partial}\mathcal{B}_n = \partial_X\mathcal{B}_n + \partial_P\mathcal{B}_n(\partial P/\partial X))$$

We write $B_n = L_+^n \to \mathcal{B}_n = \lambda_+^n$ (where + referes to powers of P now) and we note that $(L,\lambda) \to (\lambda, P)$ (the notation is different in [31,32] for example where in particular k is used for P). One has a conventional notation for $A = \sum a_i\partial^i \sim \sum a_i\xi^i$, etc., namely

$[A,B] = A\circ B - B\circ A;\ A\circ B = \sum_0^\infty \partial_\xi^n A\partial^n B/n! = A(x,\xi)exp(\overleftarrow{\partial_\xi}\overrightarrow{\partial_X})B(x,\xi)$. Putting $\xi = \epsilon\partial$ the term of first order in ϵ is $\{A,B\} = \partial_\xi A\partial B - \partial A\partial_\xi B = \sum\sum(ia_ib_{jX} - jb_ja_{iX})\xi^{i+j+1}$. Thinking of action on ψ one replaces ξ by P and obtains ($\mathcal{B}\sim\lambda_+^n$) : $\partial_n\lambda = \{\mathcal{B}_n,\lambda\}$. Further, putting in ϵ at appropriate places one obtains from [31,32] ($S = S(T_n,\lambda),\ T_1 = X,\ S_j\to S_j(T_n)$)

$$\partial_n\mathcal{B}_m - \partial_m\mathcal{B}_n + \{\mathcal{B}_m,\mathcal{B}_n\} = 0;\ \partial_n S = \mathcal{B}_n;\ S = \sum_1^\infty T_n\lambda^n + \sum_1^\infty S_{i+1}\lambda^{-i} \tag{1.8}$$

and we note explicitly $\mathcal{B}_1 = \lambda_+ = P$. Further $\mathcal{B}_n = \lambda^n + \sum_1^\infty \partial_n S_{i+1}\lambda^{-i}$ and one shows that $\partial S_{j+1} = -P_j$. Finally the tau function for dKP is simply defined via $\partial_n log(\tau^{dKP}) = -nS_{n+1}$ ($n\geq 1$) where $log(\tau^{dKP})\sim F$ and hence $\partial S_{n+1}\sim -P_n\sim \partial\partial_n F/n$.

Now the Hirota bilinear identity for KP is $\oint_\infty \psi(x,\lambda)\psi^*(y,\lambda)d\lambda = 0$ and this contains all of the Hirota bilinear equations for KP (cf. [6,7]). Such equations correspond to Plucker relations and in fact a subset of such relations embodied in the Fay differential identity is also equivalent to the KP hierarchy (cf. [31]). The Fay identity can be written (cf. [1] - $[s_i] = (s_i,\frac{1}{2}s_i^2,\frac{1}{3}s_i^3,...)$ and c.p. $\sim$ cyclic permutations of $1,2,3$)

$$\sum_{c.p.}(s_0-s_1)(s_2-s_3)\tau(t+[s_0]+[s_1])\tau(t+[s_2]+[s_3]) = 0 \tag{1.9}$$

Differentiating this in s_0 and manipulating variables suitably leads to the differential Fay identity (with ϵ included for dKP limits - cf. [1,31])

$$\epsilon\partial_X\tau(t-\epsilon[\mu^{-1}])\tau(t-\epsilon[\lambda^{-1}]) - \tau(t-\epsilon[\mu^{-1}])\epsilon\partial_X\tau(t-\epsilon[\lambda^{-1}]) \tag{1.10}$$
$$-(\lambda-\mu)\tau(t-\epsilon[\mu^{-1}])\tau(t-\epsilon[\lambda^{-1}]) + (\lambda-\mu)\tau(t)\tau(t-\epsilon[\mu^{-1}]-\epsilon[\lambda^{-1}]) = 0$$

($X\sim T_1$). Then in [31] it is shown that this has a dispersionless limit ($\epsilon\to 0, F = log(\tau^{dKP})$)

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^\infty \mu^{-m}\lambda^{-n}\frac{\partial_m\partial_n F}{mn} = log[1+\sum_1^\infty\frac{\lambda^{-n}-\mu^{-n}}{\mu-\lambda}\frac{\partial\partial_n F}{n}] \tag{1.11}$$

Hence the partial differential equations for F arising from (1.11) should characterize the dKP system. Now, although (1.11) provides a collection of partial differential equations for F in principle, their determination is not immediate and we provide here an effective way of determining these equations. This rests upon an observation made in [10]. Thus in [10] we used (1.6) ($P = \lambda - \sum_1^\infty P_i\lambda^{-i}$) and $\partial\partial_n F = nP_n$ to write

$$\sum_1^\infty \lambda^{-n}\frac{\partial\partial_n F}{n} = \sum_1^\infty \lambda^{-n}P_n = \lambda - P(\lambda) \tag{1.12}$$

Hence the right side of (1.11) becomes $log(\frac{P(\mu)-P(\lambda)}{\mu-\lambda})$. Thus for $\mu \to \lambda$ and $\dot{P} \sim \partial_\lambda P$

$$log\dot{P}(\lambda) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-m-n} \frac{\partial_m \partial_n F}{mn} \tag{1.13}$$

But we already know via (1.6) (since $P_n = \partial\partial_n F/n$)

$$\dot{P}(\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} jP_j\lambda^{-j-1} = 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \partial\partial_j F\lambda^{-j-1} \tag{1.14}$$

Looking at the first terms in the expansion of $log(\dot{P}(\lambda))$ from (1.14) one has ($log(1+x) = x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{3}x^3 + ...$)

$$log(\dot{P}) \sim \partial^2 F\lambda^{-2} + \partial\partial_2 F\lambda^{-3} + \partial\partial_3 F\lambda^{-4} + \partial\partial_4 F\lambda^{-5} + ... \tag{1.15}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}[(\partial^2 F)^2\lambda^{-4} + 2\partial^2 F\partial\partial_2 F\lambda^{-5} + ...] + \frac{1}{3}[(\partial^2 F)^3\lambda^{-6} + ...] + ...$$

Hence the consistency equations with (1.13) begin with $\partial^2 F = \partial^2 F$, and $\partial\partial_2 F = \partial\partial_2 F$, which are tautological, and thereafter we obtain in particular

$$\frac{1}{2}(\partial^2 F)^2 - \frac{1}{3}\partial\partial_3 F + \frac{1}{4}(\partial_2^2 F) = 0;\ \partial^2 F\partial\partial_2 F - \frac{1}{2}\partial\partial_4 F + \frac{1}{3}\partial_2\partial_3 F = 0; \tag{1.16}$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}(\partial^2 F)^3 + \partial^2 F\partial\partial_3 F + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\partial_2 F)^2 - \frac{3}{5}\partial\partial_5 F + \frac{1}{9}\partial_3^2 F + \frac{1}{4}\partial_2\partial_4 F = 0; ...$$

THEOREM 1.1 The relations (1.16) obtained from (1.13)-(1.14) are a system of partial differential equations for $F = log(\tau^{dKP})$ which should characterize F and thus play the role of dispersionless Hirota equations.

REMARK 1.2 It might be interesting to utilize the equations (1.16) in the study of the WDVV equations of [17] which classify certain 2-D topological field theories. Mr. Seung Hwan Son has shown how the equations (1.16) can be generated via a Mathematica program. We note also that the quantity $P(\lambda) - P(\mu)$ is an important ingredient in the Hamilton-Jacobi theory of Kodama-Gibbons (cf. [7,8,19,29]).

2. KdV, mKdV, dKdV and GRAVITY.

We indicate here a few observations which will be greatly expanded in [11]. First, referring to [7,8] one recalls that a dispersionless Jevicki-Yoneya action principle was

derived for the KdV-Hermitian matrix model (HMM) situation based on an action (cf. [7,8,23,34])

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \int Res_P(-\hat{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{Q} - S + \xi)dX \tag{2.1}$$

and in [10] it was shown that in fact the term $S - \xi$ arises as a dispersionless version of the Sato equation $\partial_n W W^{-1} = -L_-^n$ since for $W \sim exp(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}H)$, $-H \sim S - \xi$, and $\epsilon\partial_n W W^{-1} \to \partial_n(S - \xi)$ so $\partial_n(S - \xi) = -\lambda_-^n$. It could be interesting to examine further the meaning here of this dispersionless Sato equation as an action term. We note also that e.g. the conformal flows pass to a dispersionless form via Sato type equations. Thus (cf. [1,9,16,21,26]) one defines an Orlov operator M via

$$\partial_\lambda \psi = M\psi = W(\sum_1^\infty k x_k \partial^{k-1})W^{-1}\psi = (G + \sum_2^\infty k x_k \lambda^{k-1})\psi; G = WxW^{-1} \tag{2.2}$$

and the conformal flows are $\partial_{m+1,1}u = \partial Res(ML^{m+1})$ with $\partial_{m+1,1}W = -(ML^{m+1})_- W$. One knows (cf. [7,8,31,32]) that $M \to \mathcal{M} = S_\lambda$ and $\epsilon\partial_{m+1,1}W_\epsilon W_\epsilon^{-1} \to -(\mathcal{M}\lambda^{m+1})_-$ leading to $\partial_{m+1,1}(S - \xi) = -(\mathcal{M}\lambda^{m+1})_-$ with similar results for other additional symmetry flows. In this context we recall also that $(L, G) \to (\lambda, \hat{\xi})$ where $(\lambda, -\hat{\xi})$ are action angle variables in the Hamilton-Jacobi theory of [19,29].

Now based on a less sophisticated action idea for HMM situations (cf. [7,8,20]) $(\delta/\delta u)\int R_{k+1}(u)dx = -(k + \frac{1}{2})R_k(u)$, where $R_k \sim$ Gelfand-Dickey resolvant terms, one develops a unitary matrix model (UMM) action via (cf. [2-4,14,15])

$$I = \int \sum_1^\infty [4t_{2k+1}R_{k+1} - v^2 x]dx \tag{2.3}$$

where $u = v^2 - v', \delta u = 2v\delta v - \partial\delta v, \hat{D} = \partial + 2v$, so that $(\delta I/\delta v) = -\sum_1^\infty (2k + 1)t_{2k+1}\hat{D}R_k - vx = 0$. Since $R_k \to \frac{1}{2}r_{k-1}(p)$ $(p = -\frac{1}{2}u)$ in the dispersionless limit (cf. [7,8]) with $r_{n-1}(p) = (n - \frac{1}{2})...\frac{1}{2}/n!(2p)^n$ and $\epsilon\partial R_k \to 0$, upon shifting to T' variables $(\epsilon t_n = T_n,\ nT_n = T_n')$, the UMM yields a limiting string equation

$$\sum_1^\infty (2k + 1)T_{2k+1}' r_{k-1}(p) = -X \tag{2.4}$$

which is in fact the Landau-Ginsburg (LG) equation of HMM. Thus

PROPOSITION 2.1 In the dispersionless limit the UMM, based on mKdV, corresponds to the HMM, based on KdV, via the LG equation.

This is meant to be heuristic since there has been little physical input; one argues

in in [15] for example that KdV and mKdV are descriptions of an open-closed string theory involving gravity. In particular, the unique real nonsingular solutions provided by UMM map to open string generalizations of the nonsingular solutions of the KdV hierarchy found in [14]. Those solutions in turn arise by first assuming that the KdV flows are preserved nonperturbatively, which leads uniquely to the HMM string equations for closed strings, and to a unique pole free string susceptibility $\sim u$. For such closed (resp. open) strings one is dealing with HMM and Painleve 1 (resp. UMM and Painleve 2). The details here are complicated and we will say more about this in [11]. Further gravity topics in [11] will include material from [25] on a direct passage from KdV to gravity and from [22,27-30,33] on Liouville- Beltrami gravity and connections to KdV, mKdV, and Ur-KdV.

3. ON INVERSE SCATTERING AND DISPERSIONLESS LIMITS

In [7,8] we outlined some connections between inverse scattering for KdV and mKdV, dKdV. This is developed in more detail and generality in [11,13] (cf. also [5]). Thus in dKdV with $\epsilon x = X,\ x \to \pm\infty \sim \epsilon \to 0$, so there should be a natural relation between some inverse scattering quantities and some corresponding dispersionless quantities. Here the analysis of [18,19,35] is particularly relevant. We recall that $u_t = u''' - 6uu', u = v^2 - v', v_t = v''' - 6v^2v'$ are related and via a Galilean transformation $u \to u-\lambda, t \to t, x \to x-6\lambda t$, KdV is unaltered while $mKdV \to v_t = 6\lambda v' + v''' - 6v^2v'$. Then for $u + \lambda = v^2 - v'$ with $v = -\psi'/\psi$ one has $\psi'' - u\psi = \lambda\psi = -k^2\psi$ with Jost solutions $\psi_\pm(k,x) \sim exp(\pm ikx)$ as $x \to \pm\infty$. We recall that the transmission coefficient T and reflection coefficients R, R_L arise in formulas $T(k)\psi_- = R(k)\psi_+ + \psi_+(-k,x);\ T(k)\psi_+ = R_L(k)\psi_- + \psi_-(-k,x)$ and we set $\psi_- = exp(-ikx + \phi(k,x))$ with $\phi(k,-\infty) = 0$. This leads to (*) $\phi'' - 2ik\phi' + \phi'^2 = u$, so $-\psi'_-/\psi_- = -(-ik + \phi') = v$ and one expects a solution of (*) in the form $\phi' = \sum_1^\infty \phi_n/(ik)^n$ compatible with $u + \lambda = v^2 - v'$, i.e. $v \sim ik + \sum_1^\infty v_n/(ik)^n$. This leads to $\phi_n = v_n$.

Next recall (cf. [6]) that for suitable u with say $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1 + |x|^2)|u|dx < \infty$ one has available all of the inverse scattering machinery and for convenience one could even assume $u \in \mathcal{S}$ (Schwartz space). One expects T(k) to be meromorphic for $Im(k) > 0$ with (possibly) a finite number of simple poles at $\beta_j = ik_j$. Further (cf. [6]) $1/T = (1/2ik)W(\psi_+, \psi_-) = (1/2ik)(\psi'_+\psi_- - \psi_+\psi'_-)$. Given $|R(k)|$ small for large $|k|$ real (which will be true for $u \in \mathcal{S}$ say) one expands $1/(\xi - k) = -\sum_0^\infty(\xi^n/k^{n+1})$ and writes ($\bar{R}(k) = R(-k)$ for k real)

$$log(T) \sim \sum_0^\infty \frac{c_{2n+1}}{k^{2n+1}}; c_{2n+1} = \frac{2}{2n+1}\sum_1^N(i\beta_j)^{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\xi^{2n}log(1 - |R|^2)d\xi \quad (3.1)$$

Now for $x \to \infty,\ \psi_- e^{ikx} \sim (R/T)e^{2ikx} + (1/T) \to 1/T$ when $Im(k) > 0$. Taking

logarithms we get $log(\psi_-) + ikx = \phi(k,x) \to -log(T)$ as $x \to \infty$ so $\phi(k,\infty) = -log(T)$. Hence $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{2m} dx = 0$ and

$$-log(T) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{(ik)^n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n dx = -\sum_0^\infty \frac{c_{2n+1}}{k^{2n+1}};\ i^{2m} c_{2m+1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{2m+1} dx \qquad (3.2)$$

THEOREM 3.1. The scattering information or x-asymptotic information, given via R,T is related to the k or λ asymptotics of the wave function ψ_-, given via ϕ_n, through the formulas (3.2), and $\phi_n = v_n$ establishes a further connection to the mKdV expansion terms.

We remark that there are arguments based on a Gardner type transform $u = \alpha\epsilon^2 v^2 + v + \beta\epsilon v'$ for example which lead to an identification of the $c_{2n+1}(u)$ with Hamiltonians H_n. This tells us that the ϕ_{2n+1} are nontrivial conserved densities and hence so are the corresponding v_n. Now from the dispersionless theory of Sections 1,2 we have (cf. also [7,8,32]) $-u = q = 2p,\ \lambda = -k^2,\ W\partial^2 W^{-1} \to \hat{\mathcal{P}} = P^2 + q = (ik)^2$, and $ik = P(1 + \sum_1^\infty \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m} q^m P^{-2m}$. This corresponds to (1.6) with $\lambda = ik$ and there will be a corresponding inversion $P = ik - \sum_1^\infty P_j (ik)^{-j}$. Consider $\psi \sim \psi_+ = exp(\frac{1}{\epsilon}S)$ with $\psi^* \sim \psi_- = exp(-\frac{1}{2}S)$ (cf. [10] for a proof of the last statement). We note explicitly that $\epsilon\partial\psi_-/\psi_- = -P = -S_X$ and $\epsilon^2\partial^2\psi_-/\psi_- = P^2 + \epsilon P' \to P^2$ leading to $(ik)^2 = P^2 + q$. But $\psi_- = exp(-ikx + \phi) \sim \psi_- = exp(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}S)$ for $|x|$ large or ϵ small which suggests an identification $-ikX/\epsilon + \phi(X/\epsilon, k) = -\frac{1}{\epsilon}S(X,k)$ with $-S' = -ik + \phi'$ where ϕ' is the derivative in the x argument. Here in the same notation where e.g. $u_n(T,\epsilon) = u_n(T) + O(\epsilon)$ we assume it is permissible to write $\phi'(X/\epsilon, k) = \phi'(X,k,\epsilon) = \phi'(X,k) + O(\epsilon)$. Then one can deduce that $-ik + \phi' = -S_X = -P; v \sim P$. Consequently,

THEOREM 3.2 Given the above relations betwen variables x and X, the dispersionless KdV theory interacts with scattering data ϕ_n and mKdV data v_n via $\phi_n \sim v_n \sim -P_n$.

Now consider the use of $cklog|T| = \alpha(k);\ \beta(k) = \hat{c}arg(R_L/T);\ Q_n = C_n\beta_n^2;\ \hat{P}_n = \hat{C}_n log|b_n|$ as action-angle variables in a Hamiltonian theory for KdV (cf. [6] - the c, $\hat{c}$, C_n, $\hat{C}_n$ are constants which depend on notation and the b_n are normalization constants). Under standard Poisson brackets $\{\alpha(k), \beta(k')\} = \delta(k - k')$ and $\{Q_n, \hat{P}_n\} = \delta_{mn}$ with other brackets vanishing (note such Gardner type brackets do not go over to our canonical phase space brackets as in (1.8)). Now recall that $1/T = (1/2ik)W(\psi_+, \psi_-)$ and consider $\psi_\pm \sim exp(\pm\frac{1}{\epsilon}S)$ as above. Then $W(\psi_+, \psi_-) \sim \epsilon\partial e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}S} e^{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}S} - e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}S}\epsilon\partial e^{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}S} = 2S' = 2P;\ |T| = |k/P|$. Note here $\epsilon \to 0 \sim x \to \infty$ so there is no contradiction to W being independent of x while P is just a phase space

variable on an (X,P) phase space. Hence $\alpha(k) \sim cklog(|k/P|)$. On the other hand from [6] $R_L = W(\psi_-(-k,x),\psi_+)/W(\psi_+,\psi_-)$ and $\psi_-(-k,x) \sim exp(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}S(X,k))$ so the exponentials do not cancel. However some analysis based on [18] (details in [12]) allows us to say that $ImS = 0$ and $\beta(k) \to \hat{c}[\pi \; or \; 2\pi]$. Thus

THEOREM 3.3. The action variable $\alpha(k) = cklog|T|$ in KdV passes to a phase space variable $cklog|k/P|$ in dKdV and locally $\beta(k) \to$ constant.

References

[1] M. Adler and P. vanMoerbeke, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 147 (1992), 25-56

[2] K. Anagnostopoulos, M. Bowick, and N. Ishibashi, *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, 6A (1991), 2727-2739

[3] K. Anagnostopoulos, M. Bowick, and A. Schwartz, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 148 (1992), 469-485

[4] K. Anagnostopoulos and M. Bowick, SU-4238-504

[5] R. Carroll, *On the philosophy of the spectral variable, Proc. First World Congress Nonlinear Analysts*, deGruyter, 1994, to appear

[6] R. Carroll, *Topics in soliton theory*, North Holland, 1991

[7] R. Carroll, *Remarks on dispersionless KP, KdV, and 2-D gravity, Jour. Nonlin. Sci.*, 1994, to appear

[8] R. Carroll, *On canonical coordinates in soliton hierarchies, Teor. i Mat. Fizika*, 1994, to appear

[9] R. Carroll, *Applicable Anal.*, 49 (1993), 1-31; *Symmetries, Sato theory, and tau functions, Applicable Anal.*, to appear

[10] R. Carroll, *Remarks on phase space variables and quasiclassical soliton theory*, to appear

[11] R. Carroll, *A sketch of KdV-gravity connections*, in preparation

[12] R. Carroll, *On inverse scattering and dispersionless limits*, in preparation

[13] R. Carroll and Y. Kodama, in preparation

[14] S. Dalley, C. Johnson, and T. Morris, *Nucl. Phys.*, 368B (1992), 625-654 and 655-670; *Nucl. Phys. B Supplement*, 25A (1992), 87-91

[15] S. Dalley, C. Johnson, T. Morris, and A. Watterstam, *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, 7A (1992), 2753-2762

[16] L. Dickey, *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems*, World Scientific, 1991

[17] B. Dubrovin, *Integrable systems, Verdier Memorial Conf.*,Birkhauser, 1993, pp. 313-359

[18] V. Geogdzaev, *Singular limits of dispersive waves*, Plenum, 1994, pp. 53-59

[19] J. Gibbons and Y. Kodama, *Singular limits of dispersive waves*, Plenum, 1994, pp. 61-66

[20] P. Ginsparg, M. Goulian, M. Plesser, and J. Zinn-Justin, *Nucl. Phys.* 342B (1990), 539-563

[21] P. Grinevich, *Singular limits of dispersive waves*, Plenum, 1994, pp. 67-88

[22] H. Guo, Z. Wang, and K. Wu, *Phys. Lett.* 264B (1991), 277-282 and 283-291; *Comm. Theor. Phys.*, 14 (1990), 99-106 (with S. Wang)

[23] A. Jevicki and T. Yoneya, *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, 5A (1990), 1615-1621

[24] Y. Kodama and J. Gibbons, *Proc. Inter. Workshop Nonlin. and Turb. Proc. Physics*, Kiev, 1989, pp. 166-180

[25] D. Montano and G. Rivlis, *Nucl. Phys.* 360B (1991), 1613-1651

[26] A. Orlov and E. Schulman, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 12 (1986), 171-179

[27] J. Shen, Z. Sheng, and K. Li, *Phys. Lett.* 325B (1994), 377-382

[28] J. Shen, Z. Sheng, and Z. Wang, *Phys. Lett.* 291B (1992), 53-62

[29] J. Shen and Z. Sheng, *Phys. Lett.* 279B (1992), 285-290

[30] J. Schiff, hep-th 9205105

[31] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, hep-th 9405096

[32] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, *Inter. Jour. Mod. Phys. A, Supp.*, 1992, pp. 889-922

[33] Z. Wang, *Phys. Lett.* 264B (1991), 39-44

[34] T. Yoneya, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 144 (1992), 623-639; *Inter. Jour. Mod. Phys.* 7A (1992), 4015-4038

[35] V. Zakharov, *Singular limits of dispersive waves*, Plenum, 1994, pp. 165-174